

Working with Complex Trauma Integrating a Phased Stage Approach with Psychodynamic Therapy: Assessment and Formulation

Adela Stockton

COSCA Accredited Counsellor & BACP Registered Psychodynamic Therapist, Clinical Supervisor, Private Practice, Glasgow, Scotland.

Corresponding author: Adela Stockton, adelastockton@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper aims to explore the theoretical and clinical development of working with Complex Trauma (CT) through the integration of a phased-stage structure with the psychodynamic approach. The focus will be on Assessment and Formulation. While reputed for its non-directional method, it could be suggested that the psychodynamic model might not lend itself well to incorporating a therapeutic structure, such as a phased-stage system, with its clearly directive requirements. The use of transference and countertransference, which are fundamental to psychodynamic work, is however inherently body-focused; this may provide a framework for integrating a phased-stage approach and Embodied Reprocessing (ER) practices when working with CT. Furthermore, the boundaries that are firmly held in psychodynamic practice support the establishment of psychological safety (Jacobs, 2024; Bridges, 1999). The recognised need for client stabilisation while working with CT is paramount and physiologically necessary in order that the therapeutic process may proceed safely and, therefore, ethically (Rothschild, 2021; Steele et al., 2005; Fisher, 1999). Indeed, a recent study by Foreman et al. (2024) supports the theory that stabilisation can be useful in the reduction of CT symptoms.

Keywords: complex trauma; psychodynamic psychotherapy; assessment and formulation; stabilisation; phased treatment; embodied reprocessing

Open Access: Licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by the Complex Trauma Institute under the Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial–NoDerivatives 4.0 International Licence (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

Licence text: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

ISSN 2635-0807 | Perspectives on Complex Trauma, Volume 6, Issue 1 (2025)

Published: December 2025

Trauma specialised psychodynamic therapist, Adela Stockton, discusses the compatibilities and challenges of incorporating directed bodywork and additional support resources into her clinical practice during Assessment and Formulation, while remaining fundamentally true to psychodynamic thinking.

Introduction

Complex Trauma (CT) or Complex Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (CPTSD) is categorised as the sequelae of having experienced repeated multiple traumatic events over long periods of time, where the survivor held neither power nor any real hope of release from the experience (WHOA, 2025; Herman, 2022). Recovery can be a long process, often resulting in, at best, symptoms feeling more manageable than cured. This is in contrast to Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), which is usually caused by a single life-threatening traumatic event, where recovery may feel more sustained (WHOb, 2025).

A principal challenge to working therapeutically with CT is the risk of causing re-traumatisation through re-visiting the traumatic event through narrative (SAMHSA, 2014). Trauma is memorised at a cellular and, therefore, deeply visceral level and any thought process that involves recalling the traumatic event carries the potential to trigger not only an emotional but also a powerful body response (Van der Kolk, 2015). Without the ability to effectively self-regulate or feel safe in a relationship, the client is at risk of dissociating and being further damaged rather than supported by the therapeutic process. Indeed, psychotherapist and author Babette Rothschild recently stated that the 'biggest mistake in trauma therapy work' has been to skip an initial period of stabilisation, before any processing work begins. She claims that this can be integrated into any therapeutic approach since it is a 'structure rather than a method' (Rothschild, 2022).

Fundamentally aligned with the psychodynamic approach since qualifying in 2013, six years ago, I was employed by a third-sector agency supporting survivors of torture, where therapists were required to implement psychiatrist and author Judith Herman's Three Stage Trauma Recovery model (Herman, 1998). I had previously undertaken CPD training in Embodied Reprocessing (ER) (Karpuk & Dawson, 2012; Karpuk, Stoneham, & Davies, 2019; Karpuk & Dawson, 2024), similar to psychotherapist and author Peter Levine's Somatic Experience work (Somatic Experiencing International, 2025), and this post offered me the opportunity to practice an integration of the phased-stage approach within psychodynamic principles. Supported by an experienced ER clinical supervisor, I quickly understood that it was indeed neither safe nor ethical to work therapeutically with such traumatised individuals without the initial baseline framework of the stabilisation stage (Willis et al., 2023; Courtois, 2008). Safety and accountability were paramount, starting with (Risk) Assessment and Formulation, although the directive nature of utilising these in a more structured way aroused some considerable resistance from my psychodynamic perspective on free association.

The aim of this paper is to examine the intersection between psychodynamic and ER practice when working with CT, with a focus on Assessment and Formulation. Areas of

potential for clinical collaboration without necessary compromise and areas where it could be proposed that ethical negotiation on theoretic rationale effectively becomes essential in light of client safety, will be explored.

Psychodynamic Approach

Psychodynamic counselling/therapy has a reputation, among others, for its firmly held boundaries and non-directional approach. These are considered essential to supporting the provision of a safe thinking space or therapeutic frame wherein the client may themselves bring the unconscious into conscious awareness without due influence from the therapist or the environment. With its focus on early years relationships and attachment dynamics formulating potential links between the past and present, the therapy can evoke painful emotions in the client as part of the healing process (Jacobs, 2024; Bridges, 1999).

It could be surmised that where the psychodynamic therapeutic relationship proposes such an opportunity to 'think together' about the issues arising for the client, the physical body experience might be considered secondary to the psychological. However, it is, in fact, the very focus on feelings experienced in the client's body (which includes the brain) that provides the vehicle for therapeutic processing through emotional expression and, ultimately, mind-body integration. This, in addition to the use of transference and countertransference, the felt sense that guides the psychodynamic therapist in offering potentially useful interventions, is fundamental to the strengths of this modality (Moneta & Kaechele, 2023; Rayska, 2017).

The ability to safely emotionally process in this way, however, can depend on the degree to which a client is able to feel safe in their body. Often found to be rooted in early human years and repeated through adult life, the resulting symptoms of CT include dissociation (feeling disconnected from oneself and others), persistent intrusive thoughts, sleep disturbance and nightmares. While also present in PTSD, CT additionally acknowledges a diminished sense of self with a heightened sense of guilt and shame, difficulties maintaining a sustained connection in relationships and a severely reduced ability for affect regulation (WHOa, 2025). This means that it may be a challenge for a client suffering from CT to remain within or have the ability to return to the Window of Tolerance during the therapy session, where benefits from process work can be optimised (NICABM, 2025; Siegel, 2012).

Phased-Stage approach

Embracing Judith Herman's 3 Stage Trauma Recovery model (Herman, 1998), which incorporates interchangeable stages of 1. Stabilisation, 2. Remembering & Understanding, 3. Integration, a phased-stage approach such as ER, initially focuses almost entirely on the body (Moneta & Kaechele, 2023). Establishing a sense of physical safety (Stage 1) is key to the client being able to manage the feelings arising from starting to think about what has happened to them (Stage 2). Reconnection with others and community (Stage 3) is only possible further to reconnection with self through Stages 1 & 2.

Understanding how learning to regulate the body supports the ability to regulate emotions, and that only when able to access the Window of Tolerance is it safe to start psychological processing, can take time. Meantime however, the Therapeutic Alliance has the opportunity to develop. Once therapy starts, feelings may well be triggered, but with the groundwork of Stage 1 in place, the client (with the therapist) can draw on stabilisation strategies at any point to help maintain affect regulation (Levine & Frederick, 1997).

A client can, therefore, learn and understand how stress is held in the muscles and that through practising simple repetitive physical movements on a regular daily basis, the stress can be released from the body. This is not necessarily through high-velocity gym workouts, long-distance running or joining a yoga class, although if these are activities a client already enjoys, they may be the movement of choice. Rather, it can be a walk in the park or countryside, which includes the benefits of spending time in nature, also known for calming the Vagal System (Shuda et al., 2020), simple arm, leg, and body stretches while standing, sitting in a chair or lying on the bed or throwing a ball back and forth with another person, even a pet or a child (CTI, 2025).

Breathwork can be practised together in the therapy room, using longer out breaths to reduce hyper-arousal (anxiety) and shorter out breaths to raise hypo-arousal (depression). Some clients like to start or end sessions with a five-breath exercise, which helps to build their skills and confidence to utilise this strategy outside the room when feeling triggered, for example. ER further utilises visualisation techniques that support the client in managing uncomfortable sensations in the body aroused by CT to establish good enough stabilisation for the work of processing trauma to commence safely. ER also maintains the essential benefits of systemic thinking, which could entail referring to other agencies for simultaneous physiological and/or social support (CTI, 2025).

While it is possible to work on these grounding practices for stabilisation through psychoeducation without straying from psychodynamic principles, working any more directionally and/or in tandem with resources outside the room would not be usual practice. However, it is perhaps useful to reflect on every single aspect of the therapist's interaction with the client, from the first point of contact to the way the client enters, sits in and exits the room to their response to the end of therapy is psychodynamically relevant. While maintaining a clear awareness of the boundaries of the therapeutic frame, elements outside those boundaries can still be incorporated into the work and underpinned by psychodynamic principles—for example, when working within multidisciplinary statutory organisations or conducting sessions outdoors (Kotze, 2023; Hardie, 2021).

Assessment & Formulation

Clients living with CT inherently experience a sense of lack of internal safety, which can impact their ability to self-regulate and engage in stable relationships and may include periods of suicidality (MIND, 2025). The rationale for undertaking a structured assessment and formulation of the client's risk factors and individual needs in terms of initial stabilisation and capacity to make use of therapy and/or requirements for additional or alternative support

(Fisher, 2023), makes sense, although this may seem more formal than that to which the psychodynamic therapist is accustomed. Working in an agency setting may provide more support for the therapist in terms of risk management than in private practice, but clinical accountability nonetheless lies with the individual practitioner. Additionally, working to a contract can support this and, indeed, is most times required in an agency setting.

Informing the client, in the same way as arrangements are always clearly agreed upon, that an initial number of sessions will be for assessment (including formulation) before it is agreed to proceed to stabilisation work and eventually, therapeutic processing still maintains the boundaries of psychodynamic practice. It also upholds a more clearly defined space for the client or therapist to withdraw should they feel they are not a useful match before therapy formally starts. Such as when the therapist assesses the risk to be too high for their scope of practice or the client does not feel the therapist is well aligned with them.

Where a potentially suicidal or self-harming client has no social support and/or no contact with their GP, is it appropriate to work with them in private practice, for example? Where such a client does have social support, contact with a GP and perhaps Community Mental Health support, private therapy may be suitable on the agreement that the therapist can contact these services on the client's behalf should they disclose a suicide plan, for example. In terms of confidentiality, a signed contract stating the therapist's duty of care in view of the 'risk of causing serious harm to self' and that this can be acted upon, even without consent, also maintains clear boundaries.

Using a short assessment tool such as CORE-10 (Barkham et al., 2012), PHQ9 (Kroenke et al., 2001) or GAD7 (Spitzer et al., 2006) can be useful in assessing risk (McShane, 2018), although asking direct questions may be seen as a box-ticking and out of keeping with the free association that is aligned to the psychodynamic approach (Rigby, 2015). However, undertaking these tools in a psychodynamic way is still possible, utilising the benefits of psychodynamic language. For example, questions may be expanded to wonder about daily habits such as sleep and eating or self-awareness around feelings of anger and can be used in tandem with the perhaps more usual approach of inviting the client initially to say something about themselves, their life and aims for therapy.

Psychoeducation and thinking time regarding what might be possible for the client in terms of bodywork, the social dynamic (including potential additional agency support) and self-care support the premise of meeting each person with acceptance and respect and minimise the risk of setting expectations, the latter of which would not be psychodynamic. Rather than being directive, however, psychodynamic formulation can be collaborative and supportive of autonomy. CT clients have the opportunity to understand how engaging in stabilisation is an essential part of their therapeutic process, while the therapist gains awareness of what might be possible in terms of the client's capacity to benefit from an integrated therapeutic experience (Garrett et al., 2022).

Repetitive movement, muscle stretches, guided breathing, and visualisation techniques may be new and possibly challenging for some clients. Asking them what they would usually do

can be a helpful platform upon which to build additional potential strategies. Many clients are able to agree to gently stretch their limbs and bodies in tandem with a breath in to stretch and a longer breath out to relax. Clients already familiar with meditation or internal visualisation, may be able to start creating a virtual 'comfortable space' to hold in mind as a resource for internal safety (CTI, 2025; Lampe et al., 2024).

In terms of self-care, for some, a relaxing bath may not be a pleasant experience or therapeutic massage may feel too intrusive; however, walking in nature, spending time with pets or applying touch to accessible parts of the client's own body may feel acceptable. For clients who use high-impact self-soothing methods, however, such as extreme sports or sexual practices, muscle stretches that involve more weight or pressure behind the movement may be appropriate. These might include pressing the hands against a wall with the full body weight behind or lifting the body with the arms while seated in a chair (CTI, 2025).

Maintaining, even promoting, meaningful contact and connection with others need not be limited to friends and family; they may be colleagues, teammates (sport), or support group communities (Matheson, 2016). The latter may require a referral by the therapist, although psychodynamically, the potential for this to be received (consciously or unconsciously) by the client as rejection can present a challenge (Counselling Tutor, 2025). Hence, the usefulness of psychodynamic language is always tentative and careful to avoid any suggestion of undermining client integrity. Alternatively, additional support may be initiated by the client outside. Building a regular working relationship with a gym coach or massage therapist, for example, can help to replace previously unhealthy interpersonal dynamics in a healthier way.

While psychodynamically, everything is relevant and would still fall under the umbrella of 'the work', a clearly defined assessment of risk when working with CT not only protects the safety of the client but also the integrity of the practitioner. Formulation duly supports the clinical rationale for potentially signposting or referral to an additional agency, such as for addictions or physical support, that may be undertaken in tandem with attending psychological therapy (Lampe et al., 2024).

Conclusion

Ways in which psychodynamic practice and the ER approach may be integrated, with reference to working with CT, have been examined, with a particular focus on undertaking Assessment and Formulation. While it is clear that supporting a client through the stabilisation stage of trauma recovery is directional, perhaps raising resistance for the psychodynamic practitioner, potential ways of navigating this so that the work remains underpinned by psychodynamic thinking have been identified.

With its integral body orientated aspect as outlined above, it could be argued that the psychodynamic approach might lend itself well towards integration with ER in this context. Equally, that holding clear boundaries and the adherence to the therapeutic frame would fit

in terms of containment and holding, while using psychodynamic thinking and language to navigate the management of directions.

As rising numbers of clients suffering from CT attend therapy, the proposal of integrating ER with the psychodynamic modality, with a view to supporting an initial stabilisation stage of the work, is perhaps an opportunity to be usefully embraced.

Declarations

Ethics statement

Not applicable. This article is a practice and training contribution and does not report original empirical research involving human participants.

AI-use statement

No generative AI was used in the preparation of the original article.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Funding

No external funding was received for this article.

Data availability

Not applicable. This article does not report original empirical data.

References

- Barkham, M., Bewick, B., Mullin, T., Gilbody, S., Connell, J., Cahill, J., Mellor-Clark, J., Richards, D., Unsworth, G. & Evans, C. (2012). The CORE-10: A short measure of psychological distress for routine use in psychological therapies. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, pp.1–11 (Online)
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14733145.2012.729069> [Accessed 22nd March 2025]
- Bridges, N.A. (1999). Psychodynamic Perspective on Therapeutic Boundaries, *The Journal of Psychotherapy Practice and Research*, Fall 8(4), pp.292–300 (Online)
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3330568/#r7w443820> [Accessed 11th March 2025]
- CTI (Complex Trauma Institute) (2025). *CPD Trauma Specialised Workshops in Embodied Reprocessing* <https://www.complextraumainstitute.org/cpd-workshop-info>
- Counselling Tutor (2025) *Referrals in Counselling*, Counselling Tutor (Online)
<https://counsellingtutor.com/referrals-in-counselling/> [Accessed 26th March 2025]
- Courtois, C.A. (2008). Complex Trauma, Complex Reactions: Assessment and Treatment. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice and Policy*, American Psychological Association Vol. 5, No. 1, pp.86–100 (Online)
<https://cabinetdepsyintegrative.fr/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/complex-trauma-complex-reactions-2008.pdf> [Accessed 16th March 2025]
- Fisher, J. (2023). Trauma-Informed Stabilisation Treatment: A New Approach to Treating Unsafe Behaviour. *Australian Clinical Psychologist*, Vol 3, Issue 1, Article no. 007 (Online) <https://janinafisher.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/TIST-Australian-Psychologist-3.1.007.pdf> [Accessed 24th March 2025]
- Fisher, J. (1999). *The Work of Stabilization in Trauma Treatment*. Paper presented at The Trauma Center Lecture Series 1999, The Trauma Center at HRI, Boston, MA (Online)
https://www.complext trauma.uk/uploads/2/3/9/4/23949705/the_work_on_stabilization_in_trauma_work.pdf [Accessed 12th March 2025]
- Foreman, I., Shipp, A., Staley, M. & Ford, C. (2024). Evaluation of an online pilot ‘Complex trauma stabilisation’ group intervention in an adult mental health service. *European Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, Vol 8, Issue 1, March, 100383 (Online)
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2468749924000073> [Accessed 14th March 2025]
- Garrett, D., Rieley, R., Cooke, C. & Dowding, K. (2022). *The Trauma Stabilisation Pilot – A Review of Trauma Intervention for People with Multiple and Complex Needs*. Fulfilling Lives, BHT Sussex (Online)
<https://www.tnlcommunityfund.org.uk/media/insights/documents/Trauma-Stabilisation-Pilot-A-review-of-trauma-intervention-for-people-with-multiple-and-complex-needs-2022.pdf> [Accessed 26th March 2025]

- Hardie, A. (2021). 'Better Out Than In' Working Therapeutically Outside, *New Associations: News, Analysis, opinion for the Psychoanalytic Community*, Issue 33, Spring, pp.12–13 (Online) https://www.bpc.org.uk/download/2789/NA-33-Spring_2021_web.pdf [Accessed 22nd March 2025]
- Herman, J. L. (2022). *Trauma and recovery: The aftermath of violence—from domestic abuse to political terror* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Herman, J. (1998). Session 8: Recovery from psychological trauma. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 52 (Suppl.), S145-S150 (Online) https://cssh.northeastern.edu/pandemic-teaching-initiative/wp-content/uploads/sites/43/2020/10/1998.Recovery_Psychological_Trauma-compressed.pdf [Accessed 22nd March 2025]
- Jacobs, M. (2024). *Psychodynamic Counselling in Action* (6th ed.) London: SAGE Publications Ltd
- Karpuk, D.; Stoneham, T. & Davies, R. (2019) Nightmares and trauma: From narrative to embodied reprocessing. *Context*, pp. 36-39
- Karpuk, D., & Dawson, C. (2024). A theoretical introduction to working with nightmares using Embodied Reprocessing. *Perspectives on Complex Trauma*, volume 5.
- Karpuk, D., & Dawson, C. (2012). *Working with intrusive memories and thoughts by using Embodied Reprocessing*. Unpublished handout.
- Kotze, A. (2023). The Therapeutic Frame: An Illusion of the Past? *The Psychologist*, 28th Feb (Online) <https://www.bps.org.uk/psychologist/therapeutic-frame-illusion-past> [Accessed 22nd March 2025]
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R.L. & Williams, J.B. (2001). The PHQ9. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. Sept, 16(9), pp.606-13 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11556941/> [Accessed 22nd March 2025]
- Lampe, A., Riedl, D., Kampling, H., Nolte, T., Kirchhoff, C., Grote, V. & Kruse, J. (2024). Improvements of complex posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms during a multimodal psychodynamic inpatient rehabilitation treatment – results of an observational single-centre pilot study. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 15(1) (Online) <https://doi.org/10.1080/20008066.2024.2333221> [Accessed 26th March 2025]
- Levine, P.A. & Frederick, A. (1997). *Waking the Tiger: Healing Trauma*. Berkeley, CA: North Atlantic Books
- Matheson, C. (2016). A new diagnosis of complex Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, PTSD – a window of opportunity for the treatment of patients in the NHS?, *Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy*, 30:4, 329–344 (Online) <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/02668734.2016.1252943> [Accessed 16th March 2025]

- MIND (2025). *Complex Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (complex PTSD)* (Online) <https://www.mind.org.uk/information-support/types-of-mental-health-problems/post-traumatic-stress-disorder-ptsd-and-complex-ptsd/complex-ptsd/> [Accessed 29th March 2025]
- McShane, R. (2018). *Stabilisation work in complex trauma counselling: Working effectively with trauma in healthcare settings*. Oasis Talking Therapies, Oasis-Talk: Emotional Health & Wellbeing. Presentation, 8th March 2018 (Online) <https://www.bacp.co.uk/media/2890/rixon-mcshane-presentation.pdf> [Accessed 19th March 2025]
- Moneta, M.E. & Kaechele, H. (2023). A theoretical and clinical perspective of an embodied view in psychotherapy of somatic symptoms disorders. *Research in Psychotherapy*, Aug 25; 26(2), p.605 (Online) <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10519280/> [Accessed 19th March 2025]
- NICABM (National Institute for the Clinical Application of Behavioral Medicine) (2025) *How to Help your Client Understand their Window of Tolerance* (Online) <https://www.nicabm.com/trauma-how-to-help-your-clients-understand-their-window-of-tolerance/> [Accessed 19th March 2025]
- Rayska, A. (2017). *A Conceptualisation of the Body in Psychodynamic and Body-Based Psychotherapies: Areas of Overlap and Possibilities for Integration*. Dissertations, Theses and Capstone Projects, The Graduate Centre, City University of New York (CUNY), CUNY Academic Works, New York (Online) https://academicworks.cuny.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3026&context=gc_etds/1000 (Accessed 12th March 2025)
- Rigby, M. (2015). *The Psychodynamic Assessment of Personality Disorder* (Online) <https://madeinheene.hee.nhs.uk/Portals/19/Psychodynamic%20Assessment%20of%20PD.pdf> [Accessed 26th March 2025]
- Rothschild, B. (2022). Presentation: *Working with stabilisation, safety and professional self-care*. 4th International Conference: Responding to Crisis, Disaster and Traumatic events, Complex Trauma Institute, Sept. (Personal attendance) <https://www.complextraumainstitute.org/>
- Rothschild, B. (2021). *Revolutionizing Trauma Treatment: Phased Recovery via Sensory & Autonomic Balance: Stabilization, Safety & Nervous System Balance*. New York: WW Norton & Co.
- SAMHSA (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration) (2014), Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series, No. 57, *Trauma Informed Care in Behavioral Health Services*, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (US), Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (US) (Online) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK207185/> [Accessed 16th March 2025]
- Siegel, D. J. (2012). *The developing mind: How relationships and the brain interact to shape who we are* (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.

- Shuda, Q., Bougoulas, M.E. & Kass, R. (2020). Effect of nature exposure on perceived and physiologic stress: A systematic review. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*, Vol 53, Sept, 102514 (Online) <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0965229920305446> [Accessed 19th March 2025]
- Somatic Experiencing International (2025). *SE-101* (Online) <https://traumahealing.org/se-101/> [Accessed 16th March 2025]
- Spitzer, R.L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J.B. & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalised anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. May, 166(10), pp.1092-97 (Online) <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16717171/> [Accessed 22nd March 2025]
- Steele, K., van der Hart, O. & Nijenhuis, E.R.S. (2005). Phase-Oriented Treatment of Structural Dissociation in Complex Traumatization: Overcoming Trauma-Related Phobias. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, Feb, 6(3), pp.11–53 (Online) https://www.researchgate.net/publication/7591880_Phase-Oriented_Treatment_of_Structural_Dissociation_in_Complex_Traumatization_Overcoming_Trauma-Related_Phobias [Accessed 24th March 2025]
- Van der Kolk, B. (2015). *The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind, and Body in the Healing of Trauma* (reprint ed.) New York: NY Penguin Books

- WHO(a) (World Health Organisation) (2025). *ICD-11(2025) 6B41 Complex Post Traumatic Stress Disorder* (Online) <https://icd.who.int/browse/2025-01/mms/en#585833559> (Accessed 11th March 2025)
- WHO(b) (World Health Organisation) (2025). *ICD-11 (2025) 6B40 Post Traumatic Stress Disorder* (Online) <https://icd.who.int/browse/2025-01/mms/en#2070699808> (Accessed 11th March 2025)
- Willis, N., Dowling, C. & O'Reilly, G. (2023). Stabilisation and Phase-Orientated Psychological Treatment for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *European Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, Vol 7, Issue 1, March, 100311 (Online) <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2468749922000539> (Accessed 14th March 2025)